ELL881/AIL821

Large Language Models: Introduction and Recent Advances

Semester I, 2024-25

Quiz 1

Answer the questions in the spaces provided. No extra pages will be given. Write proper justifications for every answer.

Course Code:

Name:

Entry Number:

Total Marks: 20 Total Marks: 20 Time: 40 minutes

Question 1: Variational Inference in Language Modeling

Given two probability distributions $P(Y)$ and $Q(Y)$, the Kullback-Leibler divergence (popularly called KL divergence), between these two distributions can be expressed as:

$$
D_{\text{KL}}(P(Y) \parallel Q(Y)) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim P} \log \left(\frac{P(Y = y)}{Q(Y = y)} \right).
$$

Now, consider a language model where:

- P(output \vert context) represents the true posterior probability distribution for generating a specific output (e.g., a word or sequence of words) given an input context (e.g., a preceding sentence or phrase).
- $P(\text{output})$ is the prior probability distribution of the output before observing any context, which reflects the likelihood of generating the output without any conditioning information.
- $P(\text{context} \mid \text{output})$ is the likelihood of observing a given context given the output, which could be interpreted as the probability of the input context being consistent with the output.
- $Q(\text{output} \mid \text{context})$ is an approximate distribution used to estimate the true posterior distribution $P(\text{output} | \text{context})$.

1. Show that the KL divergence between the approximate distribution Q(output | context) and the true posterior $P(\text{output} | \text{context})$ can be expressed as:

 $D_{\text{KL}}(Q(\text{output} | \text{context}) || P(\text{output} | \text{context})) = \log P(\text{context})$

− EQ(output|context) [log P(context | output)] + $D_{\text{KL}}(Q(\text{output} | \text{context}) || P(\text{output})).$

(4 marks)

Question 2: Backpropagation Through Time

In the process of backpropagation through time (BPTT) for training a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), we compute various derivatives to update the network's parameters. For the scenarios given below, determine the dimensions of the derivatives.

1. Derivative of the Loss with Respect to the Output Matrix Assume that the output matrix **O** has dimensions $n \times m$. What are the dimensions of $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{O}}$?

2. Derivative of the Output Matrix with Respect to the Hidden State Matrix

Assume that the output matrix **O** has dimensions $n \times m$ and the hidden state matrix **H** also has dimensions $n \times m$. What are the dimensions of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{O}}{\partial \mathbf{H}}$?

(1 mark)

3. Derivative of the Hidden State Matrix with Respect to the Input Vector The hidden state matrix **H** has dimensions $n \times m$ and the input vector **X** has p elements. What are the dimensions of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{X}}$?

(1 mark)

4. Second-Order Derivative of the Loss with Respect to the Hidden State Matrix The loss function L depends on the hidden state matrix **H** with dimensions $n \times m$. What are the dimensions of the second-order derivative $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mathbf{H}^2}$?

(1 mark)

Question 3: Combining Word Embeddings Using Autoencoders

We discussed different word embedding methods in class. To combine the embeddings of two or more words, we can simply add or concatenate them - we saw this approach in CNN-based neural language models where embeddings are concatenated.

Another approach of combining word embeddings can be using an autoencoder.

In the autoencoder, two input word embeddings/vectors $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_x \times 1}$ are first concatenated into a single vector $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ \mathbf{x}_2 $\mathbb{R}^{\{2D_x\times1\}}$, and the parent vector **p** can be computed as:

$$
\mathbf{p} = \text{ReLU}(W_1\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{D_p \times 1},
$$

where $ReLU(x) = max(0, x)$, and W_1 can be decomposed as:

 $W_1 = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \end{bmatrix}$

Figure 1: Using an autoencoder to combine embeddings of two words

thus $W_1\mathbf{x}$ becomes:

$$
W_1\mathbf{x} = W_{11}\mathbf{x}_1 + W_{12}\mathbf{x}_2.
$$

During training, we use the parent vector p to reconstruct the input vectors:

$$
\mathbf{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \mathbf{x}'_2 \end{bmatrix} = W_2 \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2D_x \times 1}.
$$

where $\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_x \times 1}$ are the reconstructions. Correspondingly, a re-construction loss J_1 that computes the Euclidean distance between inputs and re-constructions is used during training:

$$
J_1 = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}||^2 \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

The network is trained using the total loss:

$$
J = J_1 + J_2.
$$

where, J_2 is a cross-entropy loss between actual label y and predicted label $\hat{y} = W_3 \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{b}_3$:

$$
J_2 = \mathrm{CE}(y, \hat{y}) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now, compute the following gradients for the re-construction loss J_1 . You can use the following notation

$$
\mathbb{1}\{x>0\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x>0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Using it on a matrix performs an element-wise operation, e.g.,

$$
\mathbb{1}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ -3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} > 0\right\} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

1. $\delta_1 = \frac{\partial J_1}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$.

(2 marks)

2. $\delta_2 = \frac{\partial J_1}{\partial \mathbf{h}}$ (where, $\mathbf{h} = W_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_1$) in terms of δ_1 .

 (2 marks)

3. $\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial W_1}$ in terms of δ_2 .

Question 4: A Sneak Peek into Transformers

Consider a transformer model used for natural language processing tasks. Given a sequence of input vectors $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n]$, where each \mathbf{x}_i is in \mathbb{R}^d , the attention mechanism computes the attention scores using the following steps:

• Query, Key, and Value Matrices: The input vectors are linearly transformed into query (Q) , key (K), and value (V) matrices using weight matrices W_Q , W_K , and W_V respectively:

$$
\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_Q, \quad \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_K, \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_V
$$

where \mathbf{W}_Q , \mathbf{W}_K , and \mathbf{W}_V are in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

• Scaled Dot-Product Attention: The attention scores are computed as *softmax* over the *scaled* dot-product of the query and key matrices. Then, the final output from each head is computed as:

$$
Attention(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = softmax\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)\mathbf{V}
$$

Given the above, answer the following questions:

Suppose W_Q and W_K are **symmetric** and **diagonalizable** matrices with eigenvector matrices P_Q and P_K , respectively.

1. Prove that if the eigenvectors of W_Q and W_K are **aligned** (i.e., $P_Q = P_K$), then the attention scores will favor attention in the directions aligned with these common eigenvectors.

(2 marks)

2. Conversely, if every eigenvector of W_Q is orthogonal to the eigenvectors of W_K , i.e.,

$$
\forall i, \forall j, \mathbf{P}_Q[:i] \perp \mathbf{P}_K[:j]
$$

prove that the attention scores tend to be uniformly distributed.

3. If the rank of the matrix $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T$ is p and the rank of V is q, then what can you infer about the rank of the attention matrix $Attention(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V})$ in terms of p and q? Justify your answer.

(1 mark)