Part III Generalized Linear Models⁵

So far, we've seen a regression example, and a classification example. In the regression example, we had $y|x; \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and in the classification one, $y|x; \theta \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi)$, for some appropriate definitions of μ and ϕ as functions of x and θ . In this section, we will show that both of these methods are special cases of a broader family of models, called Generalized Linear Models (GLMs). We will also show how other models in the GLM family can be derived and applied to other classification and regression problems.

8 The exponential family

To work our way up to GLMs, we will begin by defining exponential family distributions. We say that a class of distributions is in the exponential family if it can be written in the form

$$p(y;\eta) = b(y)\exp(\eta^T T(y) - a(\eta))$$
(6)

Here, η is called the **natural parameter** (also called the **canonical parameter**) of the distribution; T(y) is the **sufficient statistic** (for the distributions we consider, it will often be the case that T(y) = y); and $a(\eta)$ is the **log partition function**. The quantity $e^{-a(\eta)}$ essentially plays the role of a normalization constant, that makes sure the distribution $p(y; \eta)$ sums/integrates over y to 1.

A fixed choice of T, a and b defines a *family* (or set) of distributions that is parameterized by η ; as we vary η , we then get different distributions within this family.

We now show that the Bernoulli and the Gaussian distributions are examples of exponential family distributions. The Bernoulli distribution with mean ϕ , written Bernoulli (ϕ) , specifies a distribution over $y \in \{0, 1\}$, so that $p(y = 1; \phi) = \phi$; $p(y = 0; \phi) = 1 - \phi$. As we vary ϕ , we obtain Bernoulli distributions with different means. We now show that this class of Bernoulli distributions, ones obtained by varying ϕ , is in the exponential family; i.e., that there is a choice of T, a and b so that Equation (6) becomes exactly the class of Bernoulli distributions.

⁵The presentation of the material in this section takes inspiration from Michael I. Jordan, *Learning in graphical models* (unpublished book draft), and also McCullagh and Nelder, *Generalized Linear Models (2nd ed.)*.

We write the Bernoulli distribution as:

$$p(y;\phi) = \phi^{y}(1-\phi)^{1-y}$$

= exp(y log ϕ + (1-y) log(1- ϕ))
= exp $\left(\left(\log\left(\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}\right)\right)y + \log(1-\phi)\right)$

Thus, the natural parameter is given by $\eta = \log(\phi/(1-\phi))$. Interestingly, if we invert this definition for η by solving for ϕ in terms of η , we obtain $\phi = 1/(1 + e^{-\eta})$. This is the familiar sigmoid function! This will come up again when we derive logistic regression as a GLM. To complete the formulation of the Bernoulli distribution as an exponential family distribution, we also have

$$T(y) = y$$

$$a(\eta) = -\log(1 - \phi)$$

$$= \log(1 + e^{\eta})$$

$$b(y) = 1$$

This shows that the Bernoulli distribution can be written in the form of Equation (6), using an appropriate choice of T, a and b.

Let's now move on to consider the Gaussian distribution. Recall that, when deriving linear regression, the value of σ^2 had no effect on our final choice of θ and $h_{\theta}(x)$. Thus, we can choose an arbitrary value for σ^2 without changing anything. To simplify the derivation below, let's set $\sigma^2 = 1.^6$ We then have:

$$p(y;\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(\mu y - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\right)$$

⁶If we leave σ^2 as a variable, the Gaussian distribution can also be shown to be in the exponential family, where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is now a 2-dimension vector that depends on both μ and σ . For the purposes of GLMs, however, the σ^2 parameter can also be treated by considering a more general definition of the exponential family: $p(y; \eta, \tau) = b(a, \tau) \exp((\eta^T T(y) - a(\eta))/c(\tau))$. Here, τ is called the **dispersion parameter**, and for the Gaussian, $c(\tau) = \sigma^2$; but given our simplification above, we won't need the more general definition for the examples we will consider here.

Thus, we see that the Gaussian is in the exponential family, with

$$\eta = \mu
T(y) = y
a(\eta) = \mu^2/2
= \eta^2/2
b(y) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp(-y^2/2).$$

There're many other distributions that are members of the exponential family: The multinomial (which we'll see later), the Poisson (for modelling count-data; also see the problem set); the gamma and the exponential (for modelling continuous, non-negative random variables, such as timeintervals); the beta and the Dirichlet (for distributions over probabilities); and many more. In the next section, we will describe a general "recipe" for constructing models in which y (given x and θ) comes from any of these distributions.

9 Constructing GLMs

Suppose you would like to build a model to estimate the number y of customers arriving in your store (or number of page-views on your website) in any given hour, based on certain features x such as store promotions, recent advertising, weather, day-of-week, etc. We know that the Poisson distribution usually gives a good model for numbers of visitors. Knowing this, how can we come up with a model for our problem? Fortunately, the Poisson is an exponential family distribution, so we can apply a Generalized Linear Model (GLM). In this section, we will we will describe a method for constructing GLM models for problems such as these.

More generally, consider a classification or regression problem where we would like to predict the value of some random variable y as a function of x. To derive a GLM for this problem, we will make the following three assumptions about the conditional distribution of y given x and about our model:

- 1. $y \mid x; \theta \sim \text{ExponentialFamily}(\eta)$. I.e., given x and θ , the distribution of y follows some exponential family distribution, with parameter η .
- 2. Given x, our goal is to predict the expected value of T(y) given x. In most of our examples, we will have T(y) = y, so this means we would like the prediction h(x) output by our learned hypothesis h to

satisfy $h(x) = \mathbb{E}[y|x]$. (Note that this assumption is satisfied in the choices for $h_{\theta}(x)$ for both logistic regression and linear regression. For instance, in logistic regression, we had $h_{\theta}(x) = p(y = 1|x;\theta) = 0 \cdot p(y = 0|x;\theta) + 1 \cdot p(y = 1|x;\theta) = \mathbb{E}[y|x;\theta]$.)

3. The natural parameter η and the inputs x are related linearly: $\eta = \theta^T x$. (Or, if η is vector-valued, then $\eta_i = \theta_i^T x$.)

The third of these assumptions might seem the least well justified of the above, and it might be better thought of as a "design choice" in our recipe for designing GLMs, rather than as an assumption per se. These three assumptions/design choices will allow us to derive a very elegant class of learning algorithms, namely GLMs, that have many desirable properties such as ease of learning. Furthermore, the resulting models are often very effective for modelling different types of distributions over y; for example, we will shortly show that both logistic regression and ordinary least squares can both be derived as GLMs.

9.1 Ordinary Least Squares

To show that ordinary least squares is a special case of the GLM family of models, consider the setting where the target variable y (also called the **response variable** in GLM terminology) is continuous, and we model the conditional distribution of y given x as a Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. (Here, μ may depend x.) So, we let the *ExponentialFamily*(η) distribution above be the Gaussian distribution. As we saw previously, in the formulation of the Gaussian as an exponential family distribution, we had $\mu = \eta$. So, we have

$$h_{\theta}(x) = E[y|x;\theta]$$
$$= \mu$$
$$= \eta$$
$$= \theta^{T}x$$

The first equality follows from Assumption 2, above; the second equality follows from the fact that $y|x; \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and so its expected value is given by μ ; the third equality follows from Assumption 1 (and our earlier derivation showing that $\mu = \eta$ in the formulation of the Gaussian as an exponential family distribution); and the last equality follows from Assumption 3.

9.2 Logistic Regression

We now consider logistic regression. Here we are interested in binary classification, so $y \in \{0, 1\}$. Given that y is binary-valued, it therefore seems natural to choose the Bernoulli family of distributions to model the conditional distribution of y given x. In our formulation of the Bernoulli distribution as an exponential family distribution, we had $\phi = 1/(1 + e^{-\eta})$. Furthermore, note that if $y|x; \theta \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi)$, then $\mathbb{E}[y|x; \theta] = \phi$. So, following a similar derivation as the one for ordinary least squares, we get:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = E[y|x;\theta]$$

= ϕ
= $1/(1+e^{-\eta})$
= $1/(1+e^{-\theta^T x})$

So, this gives us hypothesis functions of the form $h_{\theta}(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-\theta^T x})$. If you are previously wondering how we came up with the form of the logistic function $1/(1 + e^{-z})$, this gives one answer: Once we assume that y conditioned on x is Bernoulli, it arises as a consequence of the definition of GLMs and exponential family distributions.

To introduce a little more terminology, the function g giving the distribution's mean as a function of the natural parameter $(g(\eta) = E[T(y); \eta])$ is called the **canonical response function**. Its inverse, g^{-1} , is called the **canonical link function**. Thus, the canonical response function for the Gaussian family is just the identify function; and the canonical response function for the Bernoulli is the logistic function.⁷

9.3 Softmax Regression

Let's look at one more example of a GLM. Consider a classification problem in which the response variable y can take on any one of k values, so $y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. For example, rather than classifying email into the two classes spam or not-spam—which would have been a binary classification problem we might want to classify it into three classes, such as spam, personal mail, and work-related mail. The response variable is still discrete, but can now take on more than two values. We will thus model it as distributed according to a multinomial distribution.

⁷Many texts use g to denote the link function, and g^{-1} to denote the response function; but the notation we're using here, inherited from the early machine learning literature, will be more consistent with the notation used in the rest of the class.

Let's derive a GLM for modelling this type of multinomial data. To do so, we will begin by expressing the multinomial as an exponential family distribution.

To parameterize a multinomial over k possible outcomes, one could use k parameters ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_k specifying the probability of each of the outcomes. However, these parameters would be redundant, or more formally, they would not be independent (since knowing any k-1 of the ϕ_i 's uniquely determines the last one, as they must satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi_i = 1$). So, we will instead parameterize the multinomial with only k-1 parameters, $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{k-1}$, where $\phi_i = p(y = i; \phi)$, and $p(y = k; \phi) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i$. For notational convenience, we will also let $\phi_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i$, but we should keep in mind that this is not a parameter, and that it is fully specified by $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{k-1}$.

To express the multinomial as an exponential family distribution, we will define $T(y) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ as follows:

$$T(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \ T(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \ T(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \cdots, T(k-1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\\vdots\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \ T(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Unlike our previous examples, here we do *not* have T(y) = y; also, T(y) is now a k - 1 dimensional vector, rather than a real number. We will write $(T(y))_i$ to denote the *i*-th element of the vector T(y).

We introduce one more very useful piece of notation. An indicator function $1\{\cdot\}$ takes on a value of 1 if its argument is true, and 0 otherwise $(1\{\text{True}\} = 1, 1\{\text{False}\} = 0)$. For example, $1\{2 = 3\} = 0$, and $1\{3 = 5-2\} = 1$. So, we can also write the relationship between T(y) and y as $(T(y))_i = 1\{y = i\}$. (Before you continue reading, please make sure you understand why this is true!) Further, we have that $\mathbb{E}[(T(y))_i] = P(y = i) = \phi_i$.

We are now ready to show that the multinomial is a member of the

exponential family. We have:

$$p(y;\phi) = \phi_1^{1\{y=1\}} \phi_2^{1\{y=2\}} \cdots \phi_k^{1\{y=k\}}$$

$$= \phi_1^{1\{y=1\}} \phi_2^{1\{y=2\}} \cdots \phi_k^{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 1\{y=i\}}$$

$$= \phi_1^{(T(y))_1} \phi_2^{(T(y))_2} \cdots \phi_k^{1-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (T(y))_i}$$

$$= \exp((T(y))_1 \log(\phi_1) + (T(y))_2 \log(\phi_2) + \cdots + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (T(y))_i\right) \log(\phi_k))$$

$$= \exp((T(y))_1 \log(\phi_1/\phi_k) + (T(y))_2 \log(\phi_2/\phi_k) + \cdots + (T(y))_{k-1} \log(\phi_{k-1}/\phi_k) + \log(\phi_k))$$

$$= b(y) \exp(\eta^T T(y) - a(\eta))$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \log(\phi_1/\phi_k) \\ \log(\phi_2/\phi_k) \\ \vdots \\ \log(\phi_{k-1}/\phi_k) \end{bmatrix},$$
$$a(\eta) = -\log(\phi_k)$$
$$b(y) = 1.$$

This completes our formulation of the multinomial as an exponential family distribution.

The link function is given (for i = 1, ..., k) by

$$\eta_i = \log \frac{\phi_i}{\phi_k}.$$

For convenience, we have also defined $\eta_k = \log(\phi_k/\phi_k) = 0$. To invert the link function and derive the response function, we therefore have that

$$e^{\eta_{i}} = \frac{\phi_{i}}{\phi_{k}}$$

$$\phi_{k}e^{\eta_{i}} = \phi_{i}$$

$$\phi_{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}e^{\eta_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\phi_{i} = 1$$
(7)

This implies that $\phi_k = 1/\sum_{i=1}^k e^{\eta_i}$, which can be substituted back into Equation (7) to give the response function

$$\phi_i = \frac{e^{\eta_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^k e^{\eta_j}}$$

This function mapping from the η 's to the ϕ 's is called the **softmax** function.

To complete our model, we use Assumption 3, given earlier, that the η_i 's are linearly related to the x's. So, have $\eta_i = \theta_i^T x$ (for i = 1, ..., k - 1), where $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are the parameters of our model. For notational convenience, we can also define $\theta_k = 0$, so that $\eta_k = \theta_k^T x = 0$, as given previously. Hence, our model assumes that the conditional distribution of y given x is given by

$$p(y = i|x; \theta) = \phi_i$$

$$= \frac{e^{\eta_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^k e^{\eta_j}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\theta_i^T x}}{\sum_{j=1}^k e^{\theta_j^T x}}$$
(8)

This model, which applies to classification problems where $y \in \{1, ..., k\}$, is called **softmax regression**. It is a generalization of logistic regression.

Our hypothesis will output

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \mathbf{E}[T(y)|x;\theta]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}\begin{bmatrix} 1\{y=1\}\\ 1\{y=2\}\\ \vdots\\ 1\{y=k-1\} \end{bmatrix} | x;\theta$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1}\\ \phi_{2}\\ \vdots\\ \phi_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\exp(\theta_{1}^{T}x)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\exp(\theta_{j}^{T}x)}\\ \frac{\exp(\theta_{2}^{T}x)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\exp(\theta_{j}^{T}x)}\\ \vdots\\ \frac{\exp(\theta_{k-1}^{T}x)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\exp(\theta_{j}^{T}x)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In other words, our hypothesis will output the estimated probability that $p(y = i|x; \theta)$, for every value of i = 1, ..., k. (Even though $h_{\theta}(x)$ as defined above is only k - 1 dimensional, clearly $p(y = k|x; \theta)$ can be obtained as $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i$.)

Lastly, let's discuss parameter fitting. Similar to our original derivation of ordinary least squares and logistic regression, if we have a training set of m examples $\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}); i = 1, ..., m\}$ and would like to learn the parameters θ_i of this model, we would begin by writing down the log-likelihood

$$\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)};\theta)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left(\frac{e^{\theta_{l}^{T}x^{(i)}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\theta_{j}^{T}x^{(i)}}}\right)^{1\{y^{(i)}=l\}}$$

To obtain the second line above, we used the definition for $p(y|x;\theta)$ given in Equation (8). We can now obtain the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameters by maximizing $\ell(\theta)$ in terms of θ , using a method such as gradient ascent or Newton's method.